EXHIBIT 1

Pages 1 - 33 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAUREL BEELER BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, No. CR 18-0577 (LB) CRB vs. MICHAEL RICHARD LYNCH, San Francisco, California Defendant. Thursday May 11, 2023 4:00 p.m. TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **APPEARANCES:** For Plaintiff: STEPHANIE HINDS United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102 BY: ROBERT S. LEACH ADAM A. REEVES ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS For Defendant: CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP 31 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 BY: CHRISTOPHER J. MORVILLO, ESQ. STEPTOE & JOHNSON One Market Plaza Steuart Tower Suite 1070 San Francisco, California 94105 BY: JONATHAN M. BAUM, ESQ. Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR Reported By:

Official Reporter - US District Court Computerized Transcription By Eclipse

1 Thursday - May 11, 2023 4:08 p.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS ---000---3 (Defendant present, in custody.) 4 5 THE CLERK: Calling Criminal Action CR18-0577, USA 6 versus Michael Richard Lynch. 7 Counsel, please step forward and state your appearances for the record. 8 Good afternoon, Your Honor. Robert Leach 9 MR. LEACH: on behalf of the United States. 10 11 I'm here with my colleague Adam Reeves. JUDGE BEELER: Good afternoon. 12 13 MR. MORVILLO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Christopher Morvillo for Michael Lynch, and Jonathan Bauman 14 15 from Steptoe and Johnson. 16 Michael Lynch is present. 17 JUDGE BEELER: All right. Good afternoon. PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: Good afternoon, Your 18 19 Pepper Friesen for Pretrial Services. 20 Okay. As you all know, I'm going to do JUDGE BEELER: 21 the initial appearance, and then Judge Breyer is going to 22 handle the detention, the bail part it. 23 And so we -- at an initial appearance what we do is go through what the charges are, tell you what the penalties are, 24 25 advise you of your important constitutional rights. We set --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ordinarily we set the next dates, but because we'll -- I'll declare the Court briefly in recess for Judge Breyer to come out, he'll come out. I'll hang out over there. Then we'll figure out what the next dates look like, especially if there are any next dates before me. I'm on criminal duty, just -- you probably know this, but I'm on criminal duty this month and it's the function of the magistrate judge on criminal duty to do the initial appearance, and so that's why I'm here. So you're here today because you have been charged by the Government with felony counts relating to fraud. begin with advising you of your important constitutional rights. You have the right to an attorney in your case. entitled to consult with and be represented by an attorney at all stages of your case, in court and out of court, including during any questioning by the Government. If someone can afford to hire a lawyer, they may hire a lawyer of their own choosing, as I understand that you've done. If someone can't afford to hire a lawyer, the Court appoints one at no cost.

Do you understand your right to an attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

JUDGE BEELER: And I understand you are entering general appearances in the case.

MR. MORVILLO: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE BEELER: You also have the right to remain silent. You don't have to talk to the Government about your case.

If you've talked to the Government already, you don't have to say anything else. If you start to make a statement, you can stop at any time.

If you decide that you do want to talk to the Government about your case, you're entitled to talk to your lawyers first and have your lawyers with you.

If you talk to the Government about your case, your statements may be used against you. That's your right to remain silent.

Do you understand that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

JUDGE BEELER: I will remind the Government pursuant to the Due Process Protection Act of its obligations under Brady versus Maryland and its progeny to disclose material that is favorable to the Defendant and material either to the Defendant's guilt or punishment. The failure to do so in a timely matter may result in dismissal of the indictment or information, dismissal of individual charges, exclusion of Government evidence or witnesses, or any other remedy that is just under the circumstances.

All right. So next part of it I will tell you what the

```
charges are, the penalties, and then we'll enter a not guilty
 1
     plea as to each count. That's called arraignment.
 2
          You have been charged by a Grand Jury in the Northern
 3
 4
     District of California with the following counts.
 5
          Count One, conspiracy to commit wire fraud.
          In Counts Two through Fifteen with individual counts of
 6
     wire fraud.
 7
          In Count Sixteen with securities fraud.
 8
 9
          Count Seventeen with conspiracy.
          Just a sec.
10
11
          (Brief pause.)
          There's also a forfeiture allegation, which means that if
12
13
     someone obtains money from their crimes, the Government can
     take that money and proceeds derived from it and traceable to
14
15
     it.
16
          The penalties, I'm not saying this is what you'll get.
17
     This is the maximum under the statute. It turns on many
18
     different things, but the maximum penalties for the fraud based
19
     Counts One through Fifteen are up to 20 years in prison; a
20
     $250,000 fine or twice the gross gain or loss, whichever is
21
     greater; a three-year term of supervised release, which is like
22
     a period of probation after any custody period; $100 special
23
     assessment fee; restitution as implicated by the counts; and
     there's also the forfeiture allegation that I mentioned.
24
25
          Count Sixteen carries the same $250,000 fine; three years
```

```
of supervised release; $100 special assessment; and the other
 1
 2
     consequences of restitution that I mentioned; and up to 25
     years in prison.
 3
          Count Seventeen carries a maximum term of up to five years
 4
 5
     in prison; $250,000 fine; three years of supervised release; a
 6
     $100 special assessment; and also restitution as implicated in
     that count.
 7
          Do you understand generally what the charges are and what
 8
 9
     the penalties might be?
              THE DEFENDANT:
10
                              Yes.
              JUDGE BEELER:
11
                             Counsel, do you waive a detailed
     reading of the indictment?
12
13
          Are you prepared to enter not quilty pleas as to each
     count of the indictment and to deny the forfeiture allegation?
14
15
              MR. MORVILLO: Yes, Your Honor. We waive a public
16
     reading of the indictment and are prepared to enter a not
17
     quilty plea.
              JUDGE BEELER:
                            All right. Not quilty pleas will be
18
19
     entered as to each count.
                                The forfeiture allegation is denied.
20
     The minute entry will reflect those things and that you have
21
     been arraigned.
22
          With that, the Court -- yes, Mr. Leach.
23
              MR. LEACH: Your Honor, if I may.
          There's one other matter I think the Defendant should be
24
```

advised of because he's a citizen of the United Kingdom.

The consular notification; right? 1 JUDGE BEELER: 2 MR. LEACH: Yeah. I'm prepared to do it. JUDGE BEELER: That's fine. You can do that. Thank 3 4 you for reminding me. I knew that, and I forgot it. 5 MR. LEACH: I made a note, which is why I -- and my 6 agent has already provided this notice. But a defendant who is not a United States citizen may 7 request an attorney for the Government or a federal law 8 enforcement official notify a consular officer from the 9 10 defendant's country of nationality that the defendant has been 11 arrested; but that even without the defendant's request, a treaty or other international agreement may require consular 12 notification. 13 All right. Thanks. 14 JUDGE BEELER: 15 You know, one of the things, I know it's in the rule, I've 16 actually taken the position that with the agent notification is 17 sufficient. And I think it is as a matter of law, but it's 18 fine to make the extra record. And so that's why generally it 19 hasn't been my practice to do it, but there's nothing wrong 20 with being careful. 21 Okay. With that, I think that's the arraignment. 22 As I said, the court will briefly be in recess until Judge 23 Breyer joins us, unless there's anything else procedural from your side of things. 24

I'll stay here just in case there are other court

```
appearances that affect me so I know what's happening.
 1
                                                              Okay.
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
              MR. LEACH:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
              MR. REEVES:
 3
              JUDGE BEELER: Court is in recess.
 4
 5
          (Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings
 6
           from 4:14 p.m. until 4:20 p.m.)
 7
              THE CLERK: All rise.
                                     Honorable Judge Breyer
     presiding.
 8
          Calling Criminal Action CR 18-0577, United States of
 9
     America versus Michael Richard Lynch.
10
11
          Counsel for Judge Breyer, can you please state your
12
     appearances.
                          Good afternoon, Your Honor. Robert Leach
13
              MR. LEACH:
     on behalf of the United States.
14
15
          I'm here with my colleague Adam Reeves.
16
              JUDGE BREYER: Good afternoon.
              MR. REEVES: Good afternoon.
17
              MR. MORVILLO: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
18
19
     Christopher Morvillo for Michael Lynch, who is present in the
20
     courtroom, from Clifford Chance.
21
          And with me is Jonathan Bauman from Steptoe and Johnson.
              JUDGE BREYER: Hi.
22
                                  How are you.
                                                 Nice to see you
23
     again.
              MR. MORVILLO: Nice to see you, too, Judge.
24
              JUDGE BREYER: And the Defendant is present.
25
```

```
MR. MORVILLO:
 1
                             Yes.
 2
              JUDGE BREYER:
                             In the custody of the United States
     Marshal; is that correct?
 3
                             That's correct, Your Honor.
 4
              MR. MORVILLO:
 5
              JUDGE BREYER: So the Defendant has been arraigned,
     and I have been advised that it's appropriate have a detention
 6
 7
     hearing, obviously.
          The question is, we could have one now, because I think as
 8
 9
     a matter of right, the parties are entitled to a detention
     hearing at the first appearance of the Defendant, which this
10
11
     is, or I can continue it until tomorrow morning at 9:00.
          Is there a -- is there a preference from the Defendant in
12
13
     that regard?
14
              MR. MORVILLO: We would prefer to go forward tonight,
15
     if that's okay with Your Honor.
16
              JUDGE BREYER: Yes, of course it is. Yeah.
                                                            And so
17
     that's what I will do.
          The reason I am holding the detention hearing rather than
18
     a magistrate judge is because the Court is familiar with a
19
20
     number of the issues dealing with the appearance of the
21
     Defendant.
          As you know, a number of years ago -- I think I even have
22
23
     a copy of the order -- the Court signed an order in this matter
     at the request of the Government in 2020 assuring the parties
24
25
     that the Court would determine what are reasonable conditions
```

```
of release in a pretrial detention setting.
 1
                                                  That order, of
     course, was executed at the time, I think, of the COVID
 2
                Nevertheless, the Court feels bound.
 3
     pandemic.
          In any event, the Court would do what the Court always
 4
     does, which is determine whether or not in the first instance
 5
     the Defendant either, or both, is a risk of danger to the
 6
 7
     community or presents a serious risk of flight. That's the
     determination under the Bail Reform Act.
 8
          The reason I am doing that is because, number one, I was
 9
     involved in -- to some extent, in the extradition proceedings,
10
11
     a very limited extent.
          Number two, the Court has already conducted a trial of
12
     a -- of an individual in connection with the subject matter to
13
     which the Defendant is presently accused of.
14
15
          And, number three, the Court has from time to time called
16
     this case in which the co-defendant, Mr. Chamberlain, has
17
     appeared and counsel for -- for the Defendant has also
18
     appeared. Mr. Weingarten, in particular.
          I don't know, Mr. Morvillo, whether you were here or not,
19
     but I think at one time you were.
20
21
              MR. MORVILLO: I have been here several times, Your
     Honor, yes.
22
23
              JUDGE BREYER: Yes. All right. And I'm sorry that I
     don't --
24
                             That's perfectly fine.
25
              MR. MORVILLO:
```

JUDGE BREYER: I mean, it's not that you haven't made an impression on me, but, in fact, actually, you haven't because I don't think I entertained any discussion concerning the matter.

But it was to apprise the Court in connection with the -basically with the co-defendant to determine whether or not
there would be a single trial or not and when to proceed to
trial.

So I have become somewhat familiar with it. I think more so than any magistrate judge granting -- appearing sort of de novo would know about it. And so the Court has determined that it will hold a detention hearing, which I'm prepared to do at this time.

So I have -- I am aware that the Government has submitted a proposed set of conditions. I don't know whether it was jointly agreed upon or not, but I have a proposed set of conditions. They are directed, I would say almost exclusively to the issue of -- of appearance; that is, of risk of flight.

They do not address the issue of dangerousness to the community. I have no information that the Defendant is at all a risk of danger to the community and none has been presented to me or suggested to me, nor do I think I need to make any further inquiry.

I simply accept the fact that as to that prong of the Bail Reform Act, it has been satisfied; that he does not present a

```
risk of danger to the community.
 1
          Now, I want to inquire into a number of factors that I
 2
     think are relevant to the question of whether he presents a
 3
     serious risk of flight.
 4
 5
          Let me just go through them and, Mr. Morvillo -- or the
     Defendant obviously is free to speak, but I think he would
 6
 7
    probably prefer speaking through you; but if he doesn't, then
     he should let me know.
 8
 9
          But I want to inquire into a number of areas, see if you
     can answer the question. If you can't, you can certainly
10
11
     consult with your client, if that would be helpful.
              MR. MORVILLO: Of course, Your Honor.
12
13
              JUDGE BREYER: First, I understand -- I believe that
     the Defendant is not a citizen of the United States; is that
14
15
     correct?
16
              MR. MORVILLO: That is correct. He is a citizen of
17
     the United Kingdom.
              JUDGE BREYER:
                            Okay. I believe that no member of his
18
     family is a citizen -- presently a citizen of the United
19
     States; is that correct?
20
              MR. MORVILLO: I believe that is also correct.
21
                                                               His
     wife was at one point, but is no longer.
22
23
              JUDGE BREYER: All right. I believe he's not a
     resident of the United States; is that correct?
24
25
              MR. MORVILLO: That is correct, Your Honor.
```

```
JUDGE BREYER: I also have no indication that he has
 1
 2
     any real property located in the United States; is that
     correct?
 3
 4
              MR. MORVILLO: That is correct, Your Honor.
 5
              JUDGE BREYER: Okay.
                                    I also understand that he
 6
     resides abroad, is that true; that he's not in the United
     States?
 7
              MR. MORVILLO: His residence is in the United Kingdom.
 8
              JUDGE BREYER: Okay. Now, I'd like to know in the
 9
    past four years has he come to the United States?
10
                                  He has been under bail conditions
11
              MR. MORVILLO: No.
     in the United Kingdom, Your Honor, in connection with the
12
     extradition.
13
              JUDGE BREYER: And the bail conditions have not
14
15
    permitted him to travel?
16
              MR. MORVILLO: Correct. He surrendered his travel
17
     documents there. He was subject to a bond, secured bond, and
     weekly reporting to the police, which he complied with without
18
19
     failure.
20
              JUDGE BREYER: All right. So in terms of any ties to
21
     the Northern District of California or the United States of
22
     America, there are none, are there?
23
              MR. MORVILLO: Familial ties or no property ties, no;
    but certainly he has --
24
25
                             Sorry. What ties would you identify as
              JUDGE BREYER:
```

```
ties to the United States or to the Northern District?
 1
 2
              MR. MORVILLO: He has acquaintances who live here,
     friends who live here, Your Honor.
 3
              JUDGE BREYER:
                            He knows people here.
 4
 5
              MR. MORVILLO:
                            Yes.
              JUDGE BREYER: Okay. Other than that, are there any
 6
     other ties?
 7
              MR. MORVILLO: Not that I'm aware of.
 8
 9
              JUDGE BREYER: Okay. Now, I want to inquire into his
     financial situation. Can you give me an estimate as to what
10
    his net worth is?
11
                                     I will preface it by saying
12
              MR. MORVILLO:
                             I can.
13
     many of his assets are encumbered as a result of this matter,
14
     but his approximate net worth is in the range of 400 to
15
     $450 million.
16
              JUDGE BREYER: Okay. And I note -- as to the assets,
17
     the assets reflecting his net worth, where are they located?
              MR. MORVILLO: For the most part they are located in
18
19
     the United Kingdom, but in addition, there are some accounts in
20
     Switzerland from what I understand.
21
              JUDGE BREYER: Are there any in the United States?
              MR. MORVILLO: Not at the moment.
22
              JUDGE BREYER: Okay.
23
                                    In terms of controlling the
     assets, does he have exclusive control over those assets?
24
              MR. MORVILLO:
25
                             I --
```

```
Well, first of all, does he have
 1
              JUDGE BREYER:
     control over the assets? And then I would ask the question:
 2
     Is that control exclusive?
 3
              MR. MORVILLO: That's a complicated question to
 4
 5
     answer, Your Honor.
              JUDGE BREYER: Let's hear the first one.
 6
              MR. MORVILLO: He has title to the assets, I would
 7
     say, but he does not have the ability to move the assets given
 8
     certain restrictions that have been imposed on him by his
 9
10
     financial and banking institutions.
11
          In addition, he is under an agreement with Hewlett Packard
     in connection with the civil case that restricts his ability
12
13
     to -- to access and move money.
              JUDGE BREYER:
                            Well, the proposal that I received was
14
15
     that he was to sign a personal recognizance bond of
16
     $100 million.
17
              MR. MORVILLO: That's correct.
              JUDGE BREYER: Which would represent approximately, of
18
19
     an estimate, one quarter of his net worth.
20
                             That's correct.
              MR. MORVILLO:
21
              JUDGE BREYER: All right. But are those assets, the
22
     $100 million, are they encumbered?
              MR. MORVILLO: So the assets that are reflected in --
23
     for purposes of security, Your Honor, constitute
24
25
     10 million pounds, or approximately $12.5 million.
```

```
currently posted with the UK court that's overseeing the
 1
 2
     extradition; that, now that he has been extradited, will be
     returned to him through my firm and will be available to be
 3
 4
     posted as security here.
 5
          In addition to that, he holds share certificates in a
 6
     public company listed in the UK, but traded -- and traded in
 7
     the UK publicly that he is prepared to post that are not
     encumbered.
 8
              JUDGE BREYER: And that's the stock in --
 9
              MR. MORVILLO: Dark Trace.
10
11
              JUDGE BREYER: And that's publicly traded?
              MR. MORVILLO: Yes, it is, Your Honor.
12
              JUDGE BREYER: And it's not otherwise encumbered.
13
              MR. MORVILLO: Correct.
14
15
              JUDGE BREYER:
                             And he has control over those.
16
              MR. MORVILLO:
                             He has control over those share
     certificates, yes.
17
              JUDGE BREYER: And that -- and that --
18
              MR. MORVILLO: If I might, Your Honor.
19
              JUDGE BREYER: Go ahead.
20
21
              MR. MORVILLO: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt.
          My firm in London has taken custody of those share
22
23
     certificates today, and we have them in our possession and can
     deliver them to the registry of the court.
24
              JUDGE BREYER: What is the value of his holdings as
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
reflected in share certificates?
         MR. MORVILLO: So some of the share certificates are
his wife's and some of them are his. In total, with today's
market price, they are approximately $93 million.
         JUDGE BREYER:
                       Okay. All right.
     Now, the Defendant was subject to extradition proceedings,
obviously.
           That's a matter of record. And my understanding is
that he contested extradition in the United Kingdom.
to say, he contested the extradition to the United States and
those extradition proceedings occurred in the United Kingdom;
is that right?
         MR. MORVILLO: Yes. We prefer to say that he asserted
his rights under the treaty in the United Kingdom; but, yes,
effectively he contested extradition.
         JUDGE BREYER: Well, he's not here voluntarily.
         MR. MORVILLO: That is correct. He's been extradited.
         JUDGE BREYER: He's been extradited.
     And he's been extradited after a lengthy proceeding in --
well, I'll define what is meant by "lengthy." It means
different things.
     But my understanding is that these proceedings of
contesting the extradition were at least three years in
duration.
         MR. MORVILLO: I think that's -- I think that's right,
Your Honor, yes.
```

```
And they involved a number of appeals
 1
              JUDGE BREYER:
 2
     from adverse rulings on extradition; is that correct?
              MR. MORVILLO: Just one appeal, Your Honor.
 3
              JUDGE BREYER: One appeal.
                                          Several parts?
 4
 5
              MR. MORVILLO: No.
                                  I think there was -- there was one
 6
     extradition decision. There was some litigation relating to
 7
     that decision, and then there was an appeal. So one appeal.
     That was just decided three weeks ago.
 8
 9
              JUDGE BREYER:
                             Right. I thought that there was some
     question that the Home Secretary himself had to -- or Home
10
11
     Secretary Office had to either agree to the extradition or not.
     And then after that decision, in which the Home Secretary
12
13
     agreed to the extradition, it then -- it then went to a court;
     is that correct?
14
15
                             So the process, as I understand it,
              MR. MORVILLO:
16
     Your Honor, is that he was initially arrested on the
17
     extradition request. It was -- the Home Secretary of the
18
     United Kingdom authorized that arrest. It went to Westminster
19
     Magistrate Court for extradition proceedings in the trial
20
             Those proceedings happened in 2021 -- 2020 through
21
     2021.
22
          There was some litigation over what happened as a result
23
     of the decision there and whether that was self-executing or
24
     whether the Home Secretary had to take further action.
25
     was resolved.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Home Secretary ordered the extradition. That triggered
his right to appeal, which he then pursued. And that decision
was handed down about three weeks ago.
                      Adverse to your client's interest.
         JUDGE BREYER:
        MR. MORVILLO: Correct.
         JUDGE BREYER: I also understand that there was some
litigation in the United Kingdom involving essentially the same
subject matter that's the subject of this indictment.
        MR. MORVILLO: There was a -- a civil trial --
         JUDGE BREYER: Civil.
        MR. MORVILLO: -- in England in 2019.
         JUDGE BREYER: And in preparation of that trial or at
some point during the proceedings, was the Defendant given
access to the proceedings that occurred here with respect to
the trial of Mr. Hussain?
         MR. MORVILLO: I do not believe that the transcripts
or the discovery in the Hussain trial was part of the
disclosure made by the plaintiffs in the UK case. However,
there was significant overlap.
         JUDGE BREYER: Well, of course, the transcripts would
be public record.
        MR. MORVILLO: Yes. The transcripts would be public
record, yes.
         JUDGE BREYER: And my question is whether or not
either counsel for Dr. Lynch had available to him the public
```

```
record of the proceedings in this Court, in this trial.
 1
 2
              MR. MORVILLO:
                             Yes.
                             Okay. And my understanding,
              JUDGE BREYER:
 3
     furthermore, is that the Defendant testified for a considerable
 4
 5
     period of time in that case in the United Kingdom.
              MR. MORVILLO:
                            That is correct, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              JUDGE BREYER:
                            And my further understanding is that
     the Court in that case found Dr. Lynch to be liable to Hewlett
 8
 9
     Packard; is that right?
              MR. MORVILLO: On a civil standard of proof, Your
10
11
     Honor, yes.
              JUDGE BREYER: On the civil standard of proof.
12
13
     Notwithstanding -- well, a civil standard of proof.
14
          Okay.
                 So he has been found liable by the British court,
15
     but no quantifiable -- no number has been assigned by the Court
16
     as to what the extent of his liability is with respect to
17
     damages.
                             That's correct, Your Honor. You have
18
              MR. MORVILLO:
19
     beat me to the punch on that.
          The liability phase has concluded. And currently underway
20
     is what they call the quantum phase, which is mid litigation
21
22
     right now.
23
              JUDGE BREYER: All right.
                                         Now, the fact that he has
     no ties to the United States, the fact that he has no ties to
24
     the Northern District of California, the fact that he has a net
25
```

worth of approximately \$400 million, the fact that he has fought extradition for three years, does the Government -- just those facts alone, does the Government believe the Defendant to be a serious risk of flight?

MR. LEACH: Yes, Your Honor.

shall so find, that he is a serious risk of flight. And that I think is my first determination under the Bail Reform Act, whether or not he simply could be released without any extra conditions because if he -- if he were not a serious risk of flight, he would -- he would be released. The presumption is that he should be released pretrial.

Since he is a serious risk of flight, and the Court so finds, the question is what sort of conditions can be imposed that will ensure his presence and, in particular, what are the least restrictive conditions consistent with the fact that he is, as the Court finds, a serious risk of flight.

I believe there are conditions that can ensure, reasonably ensure, his security. But I have received this afternoon, just before this hearing, a proposed set of conditions that were submitted to me, which I find inadequate. And I wish to discuss with you the additional conditions or the modification of these conditions which would be acceptable to the Court in order for the Defendant to be released.

First, I believe that he should be confined to the

Northern District of California and not be permitted to travel anywhere outside the district;

That he be confined, in fact, or in effect, to home confinement or to a place of abode in which he can leave for the purposes of medical visits, for court appearances, or for meetings with counsel, all of which must occur within the Northern District of California -- pardon me, all of which must occur within the City and County of San Francisco. That's -- that's number one.

Number two. I believe that his confinement should be monitored by a security firm; that an independent security firm be hired by the Defendant, should he so choose, or of his choice, in which the conditions of the security must be approved by this Court.

I believe that he should also execute an agreement that the security firm should be permitted to use reasonable force to ensure the Defendant's compliance with all the terms and conditions of the release. And I believe that some deposit of six months for the services of this security firm should be arranged for and deposited with some third party. I don't know whether it be the Clerk's Office, U.S. Attorney's Office, or the company itself. That's a detail that would have to be worked out.

I believe he should post -- he should sign the \$100 million personal recognizance promise secured by

\$50 million in cash to be deposited by the Defendant with the Clerk of the Court.

At this time I would not accept any securities. However, we can -- I'm amenable to reviewing these securities to determine whether or not they are subject to easy liquidation provided that he does not honor all the terms and conditions of the pretrial release.

I would also impose the -- what I call the standard conditions of release, and let me recite what they are.

The Defendant must appear at all proceedings as ordered by the Court and must surrender for service of any sentence implicated.

Defendant must not commit any federal, state or local crime.

Defendant must not harass, threaten, intimidate, injure, tamper with or retaliate against any witness, victim, informant, juror, officer of the court or obstruct any criminal investigation.

Defendant must submit to supervision by Pretrial Services and must report immediately upon release and thereafter as directed by Pretrial Services.

Defendant must surrender all travel documents of any kind whatsoever, including any document which may be used to enter or exit any country, genuine or not. Defendant shall not apply for or otherwise obtain any new travel documents, genuine or

not.

Defendant shall be fitted with an electronic monitoring device which cannot be removed. Defendant's private security, Pretrial Services and the FBI shall be given access to Defendant's electronic monitoring data on a real-time basis.

Defendant must not change residence or telephone number without the prior approval of Pretrial Services.

Defendant must comply with the following location restrictions. Defendant must not travel outside the City and County of San Francisco. Defendant shall remain at his residence at all times except for religious services, any medical, substance abuse or mental health treatment, attorney visits, court appearances, court approved obligations, or other activities approved in advance by the Court.

Defendant will give his express consent in writing to temporary preventative detention and the use of reasonable force by his private security to thwart any attempt to flee.

I think those are the restrictions. It is my intention, when I retire to Chambers, to write an order setting forth in writing all of these restrictions.

And I will -- of course, if any party wishes to seek a modification of the restrictions, they may do so at any time. They may appear tomorrow. If after some reflection and discussion with the -- with the Defendant, you wish to appear in front of me to seek any modification, I will entertain it,

but I -- but until then this will be the order of the Court, and I will remand the Defendant to the custody of the United States Marshal.

So I will -- I will also set, by the way, a hearing on the trial of this matter for next Friday, a week from tomorrow.

Please contact counsel for Mr. Chamberlain. And I want to be prepared -- I want the parties to be prepared to suggest a trial date, and I want to advise the parties that I am ready to try this case as soon as the parties want to try it.

I understand there's been an enormous amount of material generated in connection with this case, but as we've discussed, much of this material has been given to the Defendant already, if not all of it. I don't know. I don't know what the -- how the Government has complied with its discovery obligations.

I instruct the Government to provide Defense counsel with all discoverable materials prior to next Friday so that -- so that the discussion that we can have will be a meaningful discussion on setting a trial date.

Obviously, if the Defendant remains in custody -- and that's going to be his choice -- or even under the release conditions, I would believe that he would want to be tried as quickly as possible and try to resolve this as quickly as possible. And the Court will be ready, and I assure you the Government will as well, to try this case as quickly as Defense counsel wishes to proceed consistent with their obligations to

```
be prepared in order to try the case.
 1
          So that I think concludes the --
 2
              MR. MORVILLO: Your Honor, may I be heard.
 3
              JUDGE BREYER: Of course. Of course, Mr. Morvillo.
 4
 5
     You go right ahead.
 6
              MR. MORVILLO:
                             Thank you.
          While the Court has made clear that it concludes that
 7
    Mr. Lynch is a flight risk --
 8
              JUDGE BREYER: A serious risk of flight.
 9
              MR. MORVILLO: Serious risk of flight, I understand.
10
11
              JUDGE BREYER: Along with the Government.
              MR. MORVILLO: Mr. Lynch has been under bail in
12
13
     England for the last four years. He has been a model bailee
             He has not had remotely close to the circumstances and
14
     there.
15
     conditions placed upon him that the Court has just outlined.
16
     If he were going to flee, he would have done it then.
17
              JUDGE BREYER:
                             I don't know whether that's true at
         He had significant ties to the United Kingdom.
                                                           He lived
18
     all.
19
            His wife lived there. He had property there.
20
     employment there. He had a business there. And there -- and
21
     there, Mr. Morvillo, not to state the obvious, there was not
22
     here.
          And what he did -- and I don't quarrel with his exercise
23
     of his -- of his rights under the extradition treaty, but there
24
25
     is a big difference between people who contest extradition and
```

somebody who simply says: All right. I'm going to come here immediately and adjudicate it. Exactly what Mr. Hussain did. He came here voluntarily and -- you know.

So I think that there are a set of conditions that one would set in Great Britain very different from the set of conditions that one would set in the United States.

MR. MORVILLO: That being said, Your Honor, when the final extradition order came down three weeks ago and this transfer to the United States was a fait de accompli, he still did not have tightened restrictions and, as a result, certainly could have fled. He did not. He is here. He is ready to face the -- the Court here and the charges here.

We agree some restrictions are necessary. We've negotiated this package that was presented to you by the Government over the course of the last two weeks. We believe that those are sufficient circumstances to guarantee his return to court here, under circumstances where for the last four years he has been a model bailee in the United Kingdom.

Obviously, circumstances are changed. That's why the conditions that we've agreed to here are more severe.

So I would -- I would respectfully suggest that the terms that you have just outlined go way beyond what is reasonably necessary to secure the Defendant's appearance here in court under the Bail Reform Act.

I will also just add, just to make sure the Court is

```
reminded.
 1
              JUDGE BREYER: Go ahead.
 2
              MR. MORVILLO: When the Court -- at the outset of the
 3
     hearing the Court indicated that it had previously entered an
 4
 5
     order assuring that reasonable conditions of release would be
     available to Dr. Lynch if and when he were extradited.
 6
          The background of that related not to COVID, but to an
 7
     argument that was being made in connection with the extradition
 8
     that pretrial detention of Mr. Lynch, given the serious medical
 9
     conditions that he faces, would be a violation of his human
10
11
     rights. And to meet that argument, the Government agreed to
     conditions that it understood would -- would be reasonable to
12
13
     assure Dr. Lynch's appearance in court. It was in that context
     that they came to the Court to seek the order that the Court
14
15
     entered.
16
          Subsequent to that, the Government made representations to
17
     the UK Court that he would receive bail when he arrived in the
18
     United States, and it was on the basis of those representations
19
     that that issue in the extradition was resolved.
20
              JUDGE BREYER: Were the conditions of release
21
     presented to the United Kingdom Court --
                             They were, Your Honor.
22
              MR. MORVILLO:
23
              JUDGE BREYER: -- in the extradition proceedings?
24
              MR. MORVILLO: They were, Your Honor.
```

Your Honor, if I may --

MR. LEACH:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
By the way, let me just point out, as
         JUDGE BREYER:
you well know, Mr. Morvillo, the -- in the judicial system the
Article III Court is entirely an independent body --
         MR. MORVILLO:
                        I am --
         JUDGE BREYER: -- from the Executive Branch of the
Government.
    Now, I did review exactly what I wrote and I will read it.
It says:
          "Good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby
     finds that based on the information currently
     available to the Court and the Government -- whatever
     information was available as of October 13th, 2020 --
     in the event of Defendant's extradition to the United
     States, there are conditions of release that can be
     imposed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3142(c) which will
     reasonably assure the appearance of the Defendant and
     the safety of any other person in the community such
     that pretrial detention shall not be required."
     And that's what I've done. In the Court's view, I have
set forth reasonable conditions that address a serious risk of
flight.
     And to be candid with you, Mr. Morvillo, because that's
always a good idea, I don't -- I think it is nearly a certainty
that the Defendant will flee, in the Court's view.
there is nothing to hold him to this Court.
```

And as a -- as the proceedings develop, it will become increasingly clear that -- what the evidence is against the Defendant because he's being furnished the evidence.

Now, he has no ties to the United States. He has no ties to this district. He has a vast amount of wealth, which can sustain him should he choose not to remain in the United States.

The only thing that faces him here -- that he faces here is the prospect of either an acquittal, which certainly can happen. I'm not passing judgment as to what -- the degree of his culpability. I have no idea. I don't know what the degree of his culpability is.

I know that he is accused in the indictment of having taken -- benefited from this transaction \$804 million. And you advise me, and I think I appreciate the candor, that he has a net worth of approximately \$400 million. So there's nothing to keep him here other than his word.

And I would say that the Bail Reform Act is quite clear that the Court can impose reasonable restrictions that will ensure his presence. It's an independent duty of this Court, independent of the Government, to arrive at terms and conditions that they believe will ensure the appearance of the Defendant.

So whatever happened in Great Britain happened in Great Britain, and I understand it. And I understand the ties that

```
he has to that country. No such ties exist here.
 1
          So if you'd like, I am -- I will set aside tomorrow
 2
     morning if you want to come back and address the Court.
 3
 4
     don't like cutting lawyers off. And I also believe that this
 5
     came as a surprise to you. All right?
                             It did, Your Honor.
 6
              MR. MORVILLO:
 7
              JUDGE BREYER: So, and I -- you know, I have been
     around long enough to know that lawyers don't like surprises.
 8
          So if you want to think of more things to say to me
 9
     tomorrow morning at 9:00, I'll hear them. I'll hear them.
10
                                                                 And
11
     I'll give you my opinion tonight so you'll see in writing
     exactly what I have to say, and you can address that or
12
13
     anything else you want to address.
              MR. MORVILLO: Your Honor, not to belabor the point.
14
15
              JUDGE BREYER: Go ahead.
16
              MR. MORVILLO: The reason why the conditions were
17
     agreed to or the Government took the position that it did in
18
     the extradition was because of Dr. Lynch's medical condition.
              JUDGE BREYER: Well, I have no information on
19
20
    Dr. Lynch's medical condition. If you want to give that to me,
21
     I will be -- I will certainly consider it.
          I was going to, and I will now, order a pretrial release
22
23
              I don't know whether you call it a bail report or
     whatever they call it. And that's exactly the sort of thing
24
25
     that they take a look at.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
I want to assure you, given 24, 48, 72 hours, that
San Francisco has a perfectly adequate medical -- medical
facilities here, including the Zuckerberg Center at San
Francisco General Hospital. And you want doctors to see him or
anything of that nature, obviously that can be arranged.
        MR. MORVILLO: Your Honor, there are certain medical
devices which he traveled with here that he needs to keep him
alive.
         JUDGE BREYER: Well --
        MR. MORVILLO: And so the --
         JUDGE BREYER: Well, then I'll have the Marshals
transfer him to San Francisco General Hospital. They have a
custody wing there. If that's what you want, I'll have him
transferred to San Francisco General Hospital forthwith.
        MR. MORVILLO: Your Honor, with respect. We just
don't think that it's necessary to detain Dr. Lynch --
         JUDGE BREYER:
                      Well, I do.
        MR. MORVILLO: -- overnight.
         JUDGE BREYER: I understand that. I do. And I'm the
judge, and that's my job.
    My job is to make a determination as to whether or not --
how to address the issue of a serious risk of flight.
         That's what Congress said the Court has to do.
Obviously, subject to review. And obviously subject to an
examination of the reasons why.
```

```
So you want this on the calendar tomorrow?
 1
 2
              MR. MORVILLO:
                             Well, I --
              JUDGE BREYER: I'm not releasing him tonight. So, I
 3
 4
     mean, it's -- you're not waiving that argument.
 5
              MR. MORVILLO: Well, Your Honor, I would like to
 6
     persuade you to release him tonight, but I would like to be
 7
     back here tomorrow morning, yes.
              JUDGE BREYER: All right. So I'll put it on the
 8
     calendar for 9:00 a.m. or 9:30? What do you think?
 9
10
              THE CLERK: 9:00 a.m.
11
              JUDGE BREYER: 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. Marshals
     are directed to produce the Defendant at that time.
12
13
          I will be here a bit longer if we have to make any
     arrangements with respect to San Francisco General Hospital.
14
15
     I don't know. Why don't you have a conversation --
16
              MR. MORVILLO: May I have a conversation with my
17
     client, Your Honor?
18
              JUDGE BREYER: Of course you can.
19
          All right. We're in recess.
                                        Thank you.
20
          (Proceedings adjourned.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Llebra X. Pard

Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR
Sunday, May 21, 2023